

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**PATRICK WOOD CRUSIUS,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CAUSE NO.: EP-20-CR-00389-DCG**

**PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER**

The United States, by and through the undersigned attorneys, propose the following schedule to be adopted by this Court:<sup>1</sup>

January 18, 2022: Completion of non-expert Fed. R. Crim. P. 16 discovery for all parties; the United States shall make available to the Defendant by the time required by the applicable law all material for which disclosure is mandated by *Brady v. Maryland*, 373 U.S. 83 (1963)<sup>2</sup>

February 1, 2022: Defense discovery motions

February 15, 2022: Responses to defense discovery motions

April 1, 2022:

- 1) Constitutional motions relating to capital punishment, including but not limited to challenges to the Federal Death Penalty Act, the death penalty, *per se*, and Special Findings in the Superseding Indictment
- 2) Motion(s) to Dismiss/Strike the Superseding Indictment and/or Special Findings, including motions attacking the sufficiency of notice and/or validity of aggravating factors
- 3) Challenges to Death Qualification
- 4) Government request for alibi disclosures

---

<sup>1</sup> This proposed scheduling order is offered under the Court's guidance that the parties, for purposes of developing a timeline for resolving this case, operate under an assumption that there will be a Death Qualification and trial. The Government has not yet qualified this matter as a Death Penalty case and no inference should be made from this filing as to whether when, or even if, such a qualification may be made.

<sup>2</sup> This deadline is subject to the parties' continuing discovery obligations.

5) Defense to provide reciprocal discovery pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(b)(1)

April 29, 2022: Government responses to capital motions

May 13, 2022: Replies re: capital motions

May 23-27, 2022: Hearing re: capital motions, if necessary

June 13, 2022: 1) Pretrial motions, including but not limited to:

- Bill of particulars
- Venue
- Defects in Instituting Prosecution
- Selective Prosecution
- Challenges to Grand Jury
- Motions to Suppress

2) Government's proposed jury selection procedures

June 27, 2022: Responses to pretrial motions and government's proposed jury selection procedures

July 11, 2022: Replies re: pretrial motions and government's proposed jury selection procedures

July 18-22, 2022: Hearings on pretrial motions and jury selection procedures, if necessary<sup>3</sup>

Sept. 6, 2022: 1) Defense notice of intent to introduce expert evidence on a mental condition bearing on the issue of guilt or punishment pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 12.2(b)(1) and (2)<sup>4</sup>

- Defendant's notice shall include the name and professional qualifications of any mental health professional who will testify as an expert and a brief, general summary of the topics to be addressed that is sufficient to permit the government to determine the area in which its expert must be versed.
- If Defendant provides such notice, Defendant shall be examined by a corresponding mental health professional or professionals

---

<sup>3</sup> The Court will subsequently issue an order that will govern jury selection, including but not limited to dates and methods for prospective jurors to complete questionnaires, the parties to review questionnaires and propose strikes, in-court voir dire, and execution of peremptory strikes.

<sup>4</sup> Unless either party subsequently requests alternative procedures, maintenance and disclosure of all results and reports of mental health examinations conducted pursuant to Rule 12.2 shall be governed by Rule 12.2(c).

selected by the government for purposes of rebuttal pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 12.2(c)(1).

- 2) Government and defense disclosures of guilt-phase non-mental health expert summaries pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G) and (b)(1)(C)
- 3) Deadline for defendant to raise claims under *Atkins v. Virginia*, 536 U.S. 304 (2002). If notice is provided, a separate litigation schedule will be developed.
- 4) Deadline for the defendant to raise issues of competency. In the event that no motion is filed, the defense shall file an ex parte declaration under seal explaining why. If the defendant files a motion, a separate litigation schedule will be developed.

Sept. 27, 2022: 1) Disclosure of expert reports for experts identified in response to the parties' Sept. 6, 2022 disclosures.

- 2) Challenges to any Sept. 6, 2022 expert disclosures

October 11, 2022: Responses to challenges to guilt phase non-mental health experts.

October 18, 2022: Disclosure of penalty phase non-mental health expert reports pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 16(a)(1)(G) and (b)(1)(C).

October 28, 2022: The parties are directed to confer on the matter of a jury questionnaire and to submit by this date a joint proposed questionnaire, if possible, as well as separate proposals regarding any areas in dispute.

November 1, 2022: 1) Disclosure of expert reports for experts identified in response to the parties' October 18, 2022 disclosure of penalty phase non-mental health expert reports

- 2) Challenges to Oct. 28, 2022 expert disclosures, if any.

Nov. 14, 2022: Responses to challenges to penalty phase non-mental health experts

Nov. 16-18, 2022: Hearings re: jury questionnaire and challenges to experts, if necessary

January 15, 2023: 1) The parties are directed to confer on the matter of jury instructions and verdict forms for all phases of the trial and to submit by this date a joint proposal regarding any areas of common agreement and separate proposals regarding any areas in dispute.

- 2) Motions in limine regarding both the guilt and sentencing phases of trial

3) Government guilt and sentencing phase witness and exhibit lists

January 30, 2023: 1) Responses to jury instruction proposals in dispute

2) Responses to motions in limine

3) Defense guilt phase witness and exhibit list

February 6, 2023: Defense witness list and list of proposed mitigating factors

February 20-24: 1) Hearing on jury instructions and verdict form, motions in limine, and any disputes regarding witness lists

2) Completion of jury questionnaires

March 6, 2023: Commence voir dire; trial to commence immediately after the conclusion of the jury selection process.

Respectfully submitted,

ASHLEY C. HOFF  
UNITED STATES ATTORNEY

By: *IAN Martinez Hanna*  
IAN MARTINEZ HANNA  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Texas Bar #24057885  
700 E. San Antonio, Suite 200  
El Paso, Texas 79901  
(915) 534-6884

GREGORY E. McDONALD  
Assistant U.S. Attorney  
Texas Bar #13547300  
700 E. San Antonio, Suite 200  
El Paso, Texas 79901  
(915) 534-6884

MICHAEL S. WARBEL  
Trial Attorney, US DOJ Criminal Division  
Ohio Bar Number # 0074369  
950 Constitution Ave. NW  
Washington, DC 20530

KYLE BOYNTON  
Trial Attorney  
Civil Rights Division  
VA Bar Number: 92123  
150 M St. NE  
Washington, DC 20530  
(202) 598-0449

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on this 14th day of January, 2022, a copy of the forgoing instrument was electronically filed with the Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF System which will transmit notification of such filing to counsel of record.

*Ian Martinez Hanna*  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Ian M. Hanna  
Assistant United States Attorney

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
EL PASO DIVISION**

**UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,**

**Plaintiff,**

**v.**

**PATRICK WOOD CRUSIUS,**

**Defendant.**

§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§  
§

**CAUSE NO.: EP-20-CR-00389-DCG**

**ORDER ADOPTING PROPOSED SCHEDULING ORDER**

On this date came to be considered the United States' Proposed Scheduling Order in the above captioned case.

After considering the United States' Proposal and the status of this case, the Court is of the opinion that the Proposed order should be Adopted.

IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Government's Proposed Scheduling Order be Adopted as the Scheduling Order in this case.

SIGNED this \_\_\_\_\_ day of \_\_\_\_\_, 2022.

---

HONORABLE DAVID C. GUADERRAMA  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE